

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,504		08/01/2003	Donald J. Frasier	6001-963	4391
54621	7590	02/01/2006		EXAMINER	
KRIEG DI ONE INDI			TRAN, LEN		
SUITE 280	-	AKE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
INDIANAF	OLIS, IN	46204-2079	1725	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 02/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,504	FRASIER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Len Tran	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be selected will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. limely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the conditio</li></ol>	his action is non-final. vance except for formal matters, p	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 50-62 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 50-62 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) ☐ and Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	rawn from consideration.  d/or election requirement.  ner.  ccepted or b)  objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ection is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document in the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document in the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document in the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the priority document in the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit of the International Bure * See the International Bure * See the International Bure * See the Inte	ents have been received. Ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in Rec	tion Noved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [ 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 50-62 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paine (US 5,335,711), in view of Attenhoper (US 833,150).

Paine discloses a system comprising a vacuum furnace (11), a crucible (13) positioned within the vacuum furnace, a heater (25) for melting the metal material, an integral free form fabricated ceramic shell having first opening for receiving molten metal. The ceramic shell has a thin outer wall, and includes supporting members within the mold container and abutting the thin outer wall shown in figure 3, and capable of withstanding casting pressure up to about 24 inches of nickel.

However, Paine fails to disclose a crucible with dispensing means that has an outer portion with at least one entrance for the passage of molten metal and an exit for delivering the molten metal into the mold.

Attenhoper discloses a dispenser with inner and outer tube for delivering water to another source. The water rises into an entrance tube, then exiting upon the highest point of the inner tube (figure). Attenhoper teaches the above differences for the purpose of eliminating any impurities in the water going to a cleaner source (page 1, lines 12-33).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide a dispensing mean as taught by Attenhoper, in Paine because this dispensing construction will eliminate impurities in the molten metal prior to introducing into the mold.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/21/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The reference GB 2037300 was just a typographical error while generating the office action. It should be disregarded and not pertinent to the rejection.

In response to applicant's argument that Attenhopper is nonanalogous art, it has been held that a prior art reference must either be in the field of applicant's endeavor or, if not, then be reasonably pertinent to the particular problem with which the applicant was concerned, in order to be relied upon as a basis for rejection of the claimed invention. See *In re Oetiker*, 977 F.2d 1443, 24 USPQ2d 1443 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Attenhopper was introduced to teach

removing impurities in the water to a cleaner source. The construction in Attenhopper is to have two inner and outer tubes, wherein the water is introduced in the lower portion and exit at the highest portion. In the casting art, the molten metal is always kept from impurities and the cleanest molten metal would be in the lower portion, therefore it would be desired to get the molten metal in the lower portion. Attenhopper's dispensing construction would eliminate impurities in the molten metal prior to casting.

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1725

January 30, 2006